

Global Energy and Water Cycles

The abundance of water in all three phases (solid, liquid, vapor) makes the Earth unique in the Solar System. Knowledge of the fluxes and changes of phase of water are essential for an understanding of weather, climate and, indeed, of life itself.

Global Energy and Water Cycles provides a state-of-the-art treatment of advances in our understanding through improvements in global models, in the representation of the processes included in the models, and in related observations. It deals with fluxes within the atmosphere, at and beneath the land and ocean surface, and the interaction between them. This area of environmental science is developing rapidly and it is important to remain in touch with related developments across the wide range of the meteorological, hydrologic and oceanographic topics involved. In order to provide authoritative coverage, the book draws upon the expertise of many of the world's leading researchers. It provides a comprehensive treatment of a subject which is currently scattered through the literature, and therefore makes it accessible as a coherent whole for the first time.

The book will be of main interest to graduate students and researchers in meteorology, hydrology and oceanography, but it will also appeal to final-year undergraduates in these subjects.

K. A. Browning: Professor in the Department of Meteorology and Director of the Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology at the University of Reading. Formerly Director of Research at the UK Meteorological Office, Chief Meteorological Officer at the Meteorological Office Radar Research Laboratory, and Chief Scientist, (US) National Hail Research Experiment.

R. J. Gurney: Professor and Director of the Environmental Systems Science Centre at the University of Reading. Formerly Head, Hydrological Sciences Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center.

Global Energy and Water Cycles

EDITED BY

K. A. Browning and R. J. Gurney



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK <http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk>
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA <http://www.cup.org>
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1998

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1998

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Utopia 8.75/12.5 pt [vN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Global energy and water cycles / edited by K. A. Browning and R. J. Gurney.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-521-56057-8 (hb)

1. Energy budget (Geophysics) 2. Hydrologic cycle.
3. Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment. I. Title.

QC809.E6B766 1998

551.48-dc21 98-4546 CIP

ISBN 0 521 56057 8 hardback

Contents

List of contributors vii

Preface x

Foreword xii

Acknowledgements xii

1 The global energy and water cycles	<i>page</i> 1
1.1 The global energy cycle <i>Richard D. Rosen</i>	1
1.2 The global water cycle <i>Taikan Oki</i>	10
2 Global atmospheric models, data assimilation, and applications to the energy and water cycles	30
2.1 Atmospheric models for weather prediction and climate simulations <i>Eugenia Kalnay</i>	30
2.2 Numerical approximations for global atmospheric models <i>David Williamson</i>	33
2.3 Parameterization of subgrid-scale processes <i>Hua-lu Pan</i>	44
2.4 Principles of data assimilation <i>Milija Zupanski and Eugenia Kalnay</i>	48
2.5 Estimation of the global energy and water cycle from global data assimilation <i>Glenn White and Suranjana Saha</i>	55
2.6 Coupled atmosphere–ocean modeling for climate simulation and prediction <i>Ming Ji and Arun Kumar</i>	61
3 Atmospheric processes and their large-scale effects	71
3.1 Radiative effects of clouds and water vapor <i>Grame L. Stephens</i>	71
3.2 Effects of aerosols on clouds and radiation <i>Peter V. Hobbs</i>	91
3.3 Vertical transport processes <i>T. Hauf and S. Brinkop</i>	100
3.4 Precipitating cloud systems <i>Peter Jonas</i>	109
4 Surface and sub-surface processes	124
4.1 Estimating surface precipitation <i>D. Rosenfeld and C. G. Collier</i>	124
4.2 Air–sea fluxes and their estimation <i>Peter K. Taylor and Kristina B. Katsaros</i>	134
4.3 The ocean’s response to the freshwater cycle <i>Raymond W. Schmitt</i>	144
4.4 Physical and physiological feedback constraining evaporation from land surfaces <i>J. L. Monteith</i>	155
4.5 Soil water <i>P. J. Gregory</i>	161
4.6 Surface runoff and subsurface redistribution of water <i>Eric F. Wood</i>	166

5 Use of small-scale models and observational data to investigate coupled processes	183
5.1 Mesoscale field experiments and models <i>Jean-Claude André, Joël Noilhan and Jean-Paul Goutorbe</i>	183
5.2 Cloud-resolving models <i>M. W. Moncrieff and W.-K. Tao</i>	200
6 Examples of the use of GCMs to investigate effects of coupled processes	213
6.1 The interaction of convective and turbulent fluxes in general circulation models <i>David Gregory, Andrew Bushell and Andrew Brown</i>	213
6.2 Soil moisture–precipitation interaction: experience with two land surface schemes in the ECMWF model <i>Anton C. M. Beljaars and Pedro Viterbo</i>	223
7 Continental-scale water budgets	236
7.1 Estimating evaporation-minus-precipitation as a residual of the atmospheric water budget <i>Kevin E. Trenberth and Christian J. Guillemot</i>	236
7.2 Factors determining the partitioning of precipitation into evaporation and runoff <i>P. C. D. Milly</i>	247
7.3 The water budget of a middle latitude continental region: a modeling and observational study <i>Peter Rowntree</i>	254
7.4 Estimating large scale run-off <i>E. Todini and L. Dümenil</i>	265
8 The way forward	282
8.1 Toward an integrated land–atmosphere–ocean hydrology <i>Moustafa T. Chahine</i>	282
<i>Index</i>	287

Contributors

Jean-Claude André, Météo-France, Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, F-31057 Toulouse Cédex, France. Present address: Centre Européen de Recherche et de Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique (CERFACS), 42 Avenue Gaspard Coriolis, F-31057 Toulouse Cédex, France

Anton C. M. Beljaars, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading RG2 9AX, UK. Also affiliated to The Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI)

Sabine Brinkop, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V., Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, D-82234 Weßling, Germany

Andrew Brown, Meteorological Office, London Road, Bracknell, RG12 2SY, UK

K. A. Browning, Department of Meteorology, The University of Reading, Earley Gate, PO Box 243, Reading RG6 6BB, UK

Andrew Bushell, Meteorological Office, London Road, Bracknell RG12 2SY, UK

Moustafa T. Chahine, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Mail Stop 180-904, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-8099, USA

C. G. Collier, Telford Institute of Environmental Systems, University of Salford, UK

J. Dooge, Centre for Water Resources Research, University College, Earlsfort Terrace, IRL – Dublin 2, Ireland

Lydia Dümenil, International CLIVAR Project Office, Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology, Bundesstrasse 55, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany

Jean-Paul Goutourbe, Météo-France, Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, F-31057 Toulouse Cédex, France

David Gregory, Meteorological Office, London Road, Bracknell RG12 2SY, UK

P. J. Gregory, Department of Soil Science, The University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 233, Reading RG6 6DW, UK

Christian J. Guillemot, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P. O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307, USA

R. J. Gurney, Environmental Systems Science Centre (ESSC), The University of Reading, Harry Pitt Building, 3 Earley Gate, Whiteknights, PO Box 238, Reading RG6 6AL, UK

Thomas Hauf, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V., Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, D-82234 Weßling, Germany

Peter V. Hobbs, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

Ming Ji, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

Peter Jonas, Department of Physics, UMIST, PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, UK

Eugenia Kalnay, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA. Present address: School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, 100 E. Boyd St., Room 1310 SEC, Norman, OK 73019, USA

Kristina B. Katsaros, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, FL 33149, USA

Arun Kumar, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

P. C. D. Milly, US Geological Survey, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory/NOAA, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

Mitchell W. Moncrieff, Mesoscale and Microscale Meteorology Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307-3000, USA

J. L. Monteith, Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Bush Estate, Pencuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK

P. Morel, Office of Earth Science, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546, USA

Joël Noilhan, Météo-France, Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, F-31057 Toulouse Cédex, France

Taikan Oki, Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, 7-22-1 Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan

Hua-lu Pan, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

Richard D. Rosen, Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc., 840 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

D. Rosenfeld, The Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

Peter Rowntree, Hadley Centre, Meteorological Office, London Road, Bracknell RG12 2SY, UK

Suranjana Saha, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

Raymond W. Schmitt, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02540, USA

Graeme L. Stephens, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1371, USA

Wei-Kuo Tao, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Mesoscale Dynamics and Precipitation Branch, Code 912, Laboratory for Atmospheres, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

Peter K. Taylor, James Rennell Division (254/27), Southampton Oceanography Centre, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK

E. Todini, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Geologico Ambientali, Via Zamboni, 67, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

Kevin E. Trenberth, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P. O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307, USA

Pedro Viterbo, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading, RG2 9AX, UK

Glenn White, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

David Williamson, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P. O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307, USA

Eric F. Wood, Department of Civil Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Milija Zupanski, Environmental Modeling Center, National Centers for Environmental Prediction, NOAA/National Weather Service, Washington DC 20233-9910, USA

Preface

There is a growing realization that new global problems, faced both by scientists and by citizens, can only be tackled adequately by new partnerships formed for this purpose. Within science itself, new partnerships are needed to understand the complex scientific realities that underlie these global problems. The development of a comprehensive description of the climate system is an activity that takes the combined efforts of meteorologists, hydrologists, oceanographers and others. A particularly important part of this is the description of global energy and water cycles.

The World Climate Research Programme (WCRP), following the innovative pattern of its predecessor, the Global Atmospheric Research Programme (GARP), is an example of successful partnership and cooperation between the independent scientific community represented by the International Council of Scientific Unions and the intergovernmental community represented by the World Meteorological Organization, a specialized agency of the United Nations.

Part of the WCRP is the Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX). The problems facing the GEWEX project, the state of our present knowledge, the progress to date, and the key problems that remain – all these were well exposed in the presentations and discussions at the GEWEX Conference held at the Royal Society in London in July 1994. This conference was successful in encouraging real dialogue between experts in different specialisms. This volume is not a volume of proceedings, but is based in part on contributions to that conference, and builds upon it. This book gives the opportunity of extending this important exchange of results and opinions to a wider audience, and brings together the state of our knowledge in this important area. The scientific community are in debt to the editors and contributors for the energy and judgement they have shown throughout this enterprise.

J. Dooge

Foreword

GEWEX: the international context of this book

Striking advances were made in the 1970s in observing the general circulation of the atmosphere and modeling its dynamics, thus developing the relatively new field of *geophysical fluid dynamics* into an effective tool for planetary-scale weather forecasting. However, using the words of Richard P. Feynman, these advances were limited to studying the ‘flow of dry water’ – a mathematical abstraction in which energy sources and sinks could be largely ignored or very much simplified. The performance of existing general circulation models (GCMs) and computers, as well as the limitations of the observing systems of the time, effectively precluded investigating the diabatic processes which drive the global atmosphere, land surface hydrology and the world ocean circulation.

Nevertheless, environmental scientists understood very well that the great machine which determines the Earth climate and had maintained conditions favorable to life on our planet for billions of years, depends on the operation of a wide range of *physical, geochemical and biological processes*. They recognized that climate was primarily controlled by radiation transfer through the clear air of the stratosphere as well as the cloudy, wet air of the troposphere. They knew that rainfall was controlled by the transport of atmospheric water vapor and the dynamics of clouds. They understood that water resources depended upon rain, groundwater storage and river discharge as well as evapotranspiration and vegetal life.

Indeed, in the 1970s and early 1980s, scientists in all branches of environmental research had already made considerably progress in the study of these various processes individually. For example, a strong radiation science community was actively investigating radiative transfer through clear air and aerosols from satellites or aircraft. Cloud physicists were busy studying the dynamics and microphysical constitution of rain clouds. Agrometeorologists were building the scientific foundations for a quantitative understanding of land surface and vegetation processes in hydrology, while professional hydrologists themselves were far along building ‘conceptual models’ of water storage and discharge in river catchments.

However, these scientific investigations were largely discipline oriented and piecemeal. It was difficult to see how the patchwork of individual process studies could be transmuted into a comprehensive description of the interactive Earth system. Atmospheric and climate modelers were not interested because their fluid-dynamical models did not allow enough scope for such complicated physics. Observational scientists were not interested because reliable global climatological records of such exotic properties as energy and water fluxes were non-existent.

Yet, the portents of future advances were already in evidence, based as it is often the case upon *technical breakthroughs* such as dramatic advances in computer performance and new concepts for Earth observation from space. From its inception, the

World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) was steered toward a scientific exploitation of these new tools. Climate researchers pressed the atmospheric modelers to consider the thermodynamics as well as the pure dynamics of the atmospheric circulation, and to free themselves from the specified surface boundary conditions and initial value problems that are the hallmark of weather forecasting. Simultaneously, the planners of WCRP sought the support of science-funding and space research agencies to promote essential new instrument technologies and climatologically relevant space missions.

A most significant achievement in this period was the success of the multi-satellite Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE), which effectively appeared as the crowning achievement of a long series of space research projects to monitor the Earth radiation balance from space. For the first time, it was possible to obtain a quantitative assessment of the contribution of water vapor to the greenhouse effect of clear air, as well as the radiative forcing of clouds. Yet, monitoring radiation fluxes at the top of the atmosphere could not suffice in order to understand climate phenomena and the sensitivity of climate to external forcing: it was clearly necessary to probe into the wet and cloudy troposphere, the deep ocean and the soil on continental surfaces. New active microwave sensors that could penetrate rain clouds, as well as non-precipitating but optically thick clouds, were under development and would obviously constitute a major step in this direction.

At the same time, a new generation of climate models and climate change simulations provided both the means and the incentive to incorporate more realistic formulations of energetic and hydrologic processes. Radiation and clouds, water vapor transport and rain, evaporation from the ocean and land, groundwater storage and river flow, were indeed recognized as components of a single interactive system. The time was right to take one more step in the direction of *integrated earth system sciences* and promote a more active dialogue between scientific disciplines that were close enough and mature enough to benefit from such interactions.

It was also very timely, especially from the perspective of planning space missions and other long-term research initiatives, to formulate a unifying scientific strategy for addressing the global energy balance and hydrologic cycle. The Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX) is the planetary-scale environmental research program formulated by WCRP in the late 1980s to fulfill these requirements. The present book deals with our current understanding of the related science.

P. Morel

Acknowledgements

This book was stimulated by the European Conference on the Global Energy and Water Cycle held at the Royal Society in 1994 under the auspices of the UK GEWEX Forum, whose members, in addition to the editors, are: M. A. Beran, B. J. Hoskins, P. R. Jonas, D. T. Llewellyn-Jones, A. Lorenc, P. J. Mason, J. L. Monteith and W. B. Wilkinson. The members of this Forum also assisted with the formulation of this book, and their help is gratefully acknowledged.

Each chapter and section of this book has been scientifically peer reviewed by experts in their fields. These reviewers are thanked for their assistance. They include: S. Allen, J.-C. André, N. Arnell, R. Avissar, A. Betts, K. Bryan, H. Charnock, K. Emanuel, D. Entekhabi, K. Georgakakos, D. Gregory, J. Harries, A. Illingworth, P. Jonas, R. Koster, A. Mahfouf, P. Mason, M. Miller, P. Milly, J. Mitchell, M. Moncrieff, J. Monteith, P. Naden, T.-E. Nordeng, J. Peixoto, J.-L. Redelsberger, G. Rasmussen, R. Rosen, P. Rowntree, D. Salstein, R. Schmitt, K. Shine, L. Simmonds, A. Slingo, J. Slingo, P. Smith, G. Stephens, P. Taylor, E. Todini, K. Trenberth, D. Tsintikidis, H. Wheeler, W. Wilkinson and J. Woods, and others who wish to remain anonymous. We have also been greatly helped by assistance from Mrs. J. R. Brookling, particularly in consolidating the sections of the chapters.

In individual sections, the authors would like to acknowledge the following.

André, Noilhan, Goutorbe: Help from Pavel Kabat when preparing the overall structure of the paper.

Beljaars and Viterbo: Permission from the American Meteorological Society to reproduce Figures 6.4 to 6.7.

Oki: Tenure as a visiting scientist at the Laboratory for Atmospheres, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. The visit was supported by JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad in collaboration with USRA (Universities Space Research Association).

Rosen: Support by the Climate Dynamics Program of the US National Science Foundation under grant ATM-9223164 and the NASA EOS project under grant NAGW-2615.

Schmitt: Support by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA award No. NA47GP0188) and the National Science Foundation (Grant OCE-9520375).

Stephens: Support by the Cooperative Centre for Southern Hemispheric Meteorology, which is in turn supported under the Australian Government Department of Industry, Science and Technology. Also support by ONR Contract No. N00014-91-J-422 P0002, NOAA Contract NA67RJ0152, NASA Grant NAG8-981, and DOE DE-FG03-94ER61748.

Trenberth & Guillemot: Support by the Tropical Oceans Global Atmosphere Project Office under grant NA97AANRG0208, and NASA under NASA Order No. W-18,077.

K. A. Browning and R. J. Gurney